## 

1 2 3 4 5 6	GILBERT R. SEROTA (SBN 75305) BENJAMIN HALBIG (SBN 321523) ZHENG (JANE) HE (Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> ) ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER LLP Three Embarcadero Center, 10 <sup>th</sup> Floor San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 471-3100 Facsimile: (415) 471-3400 Email: Gilbert.Serota@arnoldporter.com Email: Benjamin.Halbig@arnoldporter.com Email: Jane.He@arnoldporter.com	
7 8	Attorneys for Defendants YELP INC., JEREMY STOPPELMAN, LANNY BAKER, and JED NACHMAN	
9	LINITED STATES	DISTRICT COLIDT
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
11	NORTHERN DISTRI	CT OF CALIFORNIA
12		
13	JONATHAN DAVIS and ROEI AZAR, on	Case No. 3:18-cv-00400-EMC
14	Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,	<u>CLASS ACTION</u>
15	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION PRESERVING
16	vs.	DEFENDANTS' RIGHTS WITH RESPECT TO THE PENDING
17		MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE A MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION
18	YELP, INC., JEREMY STOPPELMAN, LANNY BAKER, and JED NACHMAN	
19	Defendants.	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

WHEREAS, on June 25, 2018, Lead Plaintiff Jonathan Davis and Plaintiff Roei Azar filed an amended class action complaint for violations of the federal securities laws (the "Amended Complaint") against Defendants Yelp Inc., Jeremy Stoppelman, Lanny Baker, and Jed Nachman (collectively, "Defendants");

WHEREAS, on August 2, 2018, Defendants filed a motion to dismiss the Amended Complaint;

WHEREAS, on November 27, 2018, the Court entered an order granting in part and denying in part Defendants' motion to dismiss;

WHEREAS, on December 4, 2018 the parties entered into a stipulation extending Defendants' time to answer the Amended Complaint to January 21, 2019;

WHEREAS, on December 18, 2018 Defendants filed a motion for leave to file a motion for reconsideration of the Court's order of November 27, 2018;

WHEREAS, as of the date of this stipulation, the Court has not ruled on Defendants' motion for leave to file a motion for reconsideration;

WHEREAS, Defendants are concerned that answering the Amended Complaint may prejudice their rights with respect to the pending motion for leave to file a motion for reconsideration and/or any order resulting therefrom;

WHEREAS, the parties met and conferred regarding Defendants' concern and agreed that the filing of Defendants' answer prior to a Court ruling on the motion for leave to file a motion for reconsideration would in no way waive, moot, or otherwise prejudice Defendants' rights with respect to the pending motion for leave to file a motion for reconsideration and that Plaintiffs would not assert any arguments to the contrary;

**NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED,** by the parties through their respective counsel of record, as follows:

Defendants' filing of their answer to the Amended Complaint shall in no way waive, moot, or otherwise prejudice Defendants' rights with respect to the relief sought in their motion for leave to file a motion for reconsideration of the loss causation ruling in the Court's order of November 27,

## 

1	2018 and that Plaintiffs will not assert any arguments to the contrary.	
2	SO STIPULATED.	
3	DATED: January 18, 2019	ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER LLP
4		By: /s/ Gilbert R. Serota GILBERT R. SEROTA
5		
6		Counsel for Defendants YELP INC., JEREMY STOPPELMAN, LANNY BAKER, and JED NACHMAN
7 8	DATED: January 18, 2019	GLANCY PRONGAY & MURRAY LLP
9		By: /s/ Lesley Portnoy
10		By: /s/ Lesley Portnoy LESLEY PORTNOY
11		Co-Counsel for Lead Plaintiff JONATHAN DAVIS
12		
13	DATED: January 18, 2019	HOLZER & HOLZER, LLC
14		By: <u>/s/ Corey D. Holzer</u> COREY D. HOLZER
15		
16		Co-Counsel for Lead Plaintiff JONATHAN DAVIS
17 18		JONATHAN DAVIS  DISTRICT  COLUMN
19		E TEND
20		GRANTED
21		Judge Edward M. Chen
22		Judge Edward M. Chen
23		
24	DATED: 1/18/2019	DISTRICTOR
25		Judge Edward M. Chen  Judge Edward M. Chen  DISTRICT OF CHAIN
26		
27		
28		